arXiv:1503.03143v1 [math.AP] 11 Mar 2015

Global classical solution to 3D compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with large initial data and vacuum

Guangyi Hong*, Xiaofeng Hou†, Hongyun Peng‡, Changjiang Zhu§

Abstract

In this paper, we study the Cauchy problem of the isentropic compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$. When $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$, together with the $\|H_0\|_{L^2}$, is suitably small, a result on the existence of global classical solutions is obtained. It should be pointed out that the initial energy E_0 except the L^2- norm of H_0 can be large as γ goes to 1, and that throughout the proof of the theorem in the present paper, we make no restriction upon the initial data (ρ_0, u_0). Our result improves the one established by Li-Xu-Zhang in [29], where, with small initial engergy, the existence of classical solution was proved.

Keyword: isentropic compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations, global classical solution, vacuum.

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35K65, 76N10, 76W05.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Preliminaries **5**

3 Time-independent estimates **7**

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1 **33**

---

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China. E-mail: hongguangyi1989@126.com

†School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China. E-mail: xiaofengh0513@163.com

‡School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China. E-mail: penghy010@163.com

§Corresponding author. School of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510641, China. E-mail: cjzhu@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following isentropic compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (refer, e.g., [1, 26]):

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla P(\rho) = (\nabla \times H) \times H + \mu \Delta u + (\mu + \lambda)\nabla \operatorname{div} u, \\ H_t - \nabla \times (u \times H) = -\nabla \times (\nu \nabla \times H), \\ \operatorname{div} H = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t > 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

with the initial data

$$(\rho, u, H)(x, 0) = (\rho_0, u_0, H_0)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.2}$$

and the far-field behavior

$$(\rho, u, H) \to (0, 0, 0) \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to \infty, \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Here $\rho = \rho(x,t)$, $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)(x,t)$, P and $H = (H^1, H^2, H^3)(x,t)$ represent the density, velocity, pressure and magnetic field of the fluid respectively. More precisely, P is given by

$$P(\rho) = A\rho^{\gamma}, \tag{1.4}$$

where γ is the adiabatic exponent, and $A > 0$ is a constant. Without loss of generality, we assumed that $A = 1$. The viscosity coefficients μ and λ satisfy

$$\mu > 0, \quad 3\lambda + 2\mu \geq 0. \tag{1.5}$$

The constant $\nu > 0$ is the resistivity coefficient which is inversely proportional to the electrical conductivity and acts as the magnetic diffusivity of magnetic fields.

The magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) model is used to study the dynamics of conducting fluid under the effect of the magnetic field and finds its way in a huge range of physical objects, from liquid metals to cosmic plasmas, refer for example [1, 19, 28, 26, 31]. And for so, there have been a lot of literatures on the MHD system (1.1)-(1.5), see for instance, [2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 32, 33, 34, 39, 40] and references therein. It should be noted that if $H = 0$, i.e., there is no electromagnetic effect, then (1.1) becomes the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, which has been widely studied, refer for example [4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 25, 30, 35, 36, 37] and the references therein. The main difficulty in investigating the issues of well-posedness and dynamical behaviors of MHD system is caused by the strong coupling and interplay interaction between the fluid motion and the magnetic field. Now let's recall briefly some results on the multi-dimensional compressible MHD system, especially the ones that are closely relative to our topic in the present paper. With large initial data, the local strong solutions to the compressible MHD equations were proved in [33] and [11] for the case $\rho_0 > 0$ and the case $\rho_0 \geq 0$, respectively. When the initial data are small perturbations of a given constant state in H^3 -norm, Kawashima in [21] firstly established a result on the global existence of smooth solutions to the general electro-magneto-fluid equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The global existence and time decay rate of smooth solutions to the linearized two-dimensional compressible MHD equations was studied by Umeda, Kawashima and Shizuta in [32]. Zhang and Zhao [40] proved the optimal decay estimates of classical solutions to the compressible MHD equations when the initial data are close to a nonvacuum equilibrium. For the case that the initial density is allowed to vanish and even has compact support, Li-Xu-Zhang [29] established a result on the existence and large-time behavior of classical solution with regular initial data, which are of small energy but possibly large oscillations, and constant state as far field density which may contain vacuum.

Before stating our main results, we firstly explain the notations and conventions used through this paper.

Notations.

(i) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f dx, \quad \int_0^T f = \int_0^T f dt.$

(ii) For $1 \le r \le \infty$, denote the L^r spaces and the standard Sobolev spaces as follows:

$$\begin{cases} L^r = L^r(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad D^{k,r} = \{u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) | \nabla^k u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)\}, \quad \|u\|_{D^{k,r}} = \|u\|_{L^r}, \\ D^1 = D^{1,2}, \quad W^{k,r} = W^{k,r}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad H^k = W^{k,2}, \\ \dot{H}^\beta = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \middle| \|u\|^2_{\dot{H}^\beta} = \int_{R^3} |\xi|^{2\beta} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty \right\}. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

(iii) $G \triangleq (2\mu + \lambda)\mathrm{div}u - P - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2$ is the so-called effective viscous flux, while $\omega \triangleq \nabla \times u$ is the vorticity.

(iv) $\dot{h} = h_t + u \cdot \nabla h$ denotes the material derivatives.

(v) $E_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_0 |u_0|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1}\rho_0^\gamma + \frac{1}{2}|H_0|^2 \right)$ is the initial energy.

Now it is the place to state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that the initial data* (ρ_0, u_0, H_0) *satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\rho_0|u_0|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\rho_0^\gamma + \frac{1}{2}|H_0|^2 \in L^1, \quad 0 \le \rho_0 \le \bar{\rho}, \\ (\rho_0, P(\rho_0)) \in H^2 \cap W^{2,q}, \quad u_0 \in D^1 \cap D^2, \\ H_0 \in \cap D^1 \cap D^2, \quad \|\nabla u_0\|^2_{L^2} \le M_1, \quad \|H_0\|^2_{D^1} \le M_2, \\ \|H_0\|^2_{L^2} \le (\gamma - 1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

for given constants $M_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$, $\bar{\rho} \ge 1$ *and* $q \in (3, 6)$, *and that the compatibility condition holds*

$$-\mu\Delta u_0 - (\lambda + \mu)\nabla \mathrm{div} u_0 + \nabla P(\rho_0) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla |H_0|^2 - H_0 \cdot \nabla H_0 = \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} g, \tag{1.8}$$

with $g \in L^2$. *In addition, we suppose that*

$$(\gamma - 1)^{\frac{1}{24}} E_0 \le 1, \quad 1 < \gamma \le \frac{3}{2}. \tag{1.9}$$

Then, there exists a unique global classical solution (ρ, u, H) *in* $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty)$ *satisfying*

$$0 \le \rho(x, t) \le 2\bar{\rho}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ t \ge 0, \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (\rho, P) \in C([0,T]; H^2 \cap W^{2,q}), \\ u \in C([0,T]; D^1 \cap D^2) \cap L^\infty(\tau, T; D^3 \cap D^{3,q}), \\ u_t \in L^\infty(\tau, T; D^1 \cap D^2) \cap H^1(\tau, T; D^1), \\ H \in C([0,T]; H^2) \cap L^\infty(\tau, T; H^3), \\ H_t \in C([0,T]; L^2) \cap H^1(\tau, T; L^2), \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

for any $0 < \tau < T < \infty$*, provided that*

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \varepsilon \triangleq \min\left\{ \left(\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2K_9}\right)^{16}, \varepsilon_5, \frac{\bar{\rho}}{4} \right\}. \tag{1.12}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_5 &= \min\left\{\varepsilon_4, (C_4K_9)^{-1}, 1\right\}, \\ \varepsilon_4 &= \min\left\{\varepsilon_3, \frac{1}{K_6^{54}}, \left(C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} K_6^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{-\frac{9}{8}}, 1\right\}, \\ \varepsilon_3 &= \min\left\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, 4C_3(\bar{\rho}))^{-27}, 1\right\}, \\ \varepsilon_2 &= \min\left\{\varepsilon_1, (4C_1)^{-\frac{27}{2}} + (4C_2)^{-27}\right\}, \\ \varepsilon_1 &= \min\left\{1, \left(4C(M_2^{\frac{3}{4}} + K_1)\right)^{-9}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

Remark 1.1. *We make no restriction on the initial data* (ρ_0, u_0)*. In fact, it follows from (1.7) that* $E_0 \le C_0(\gamma-1)^{-\frac{1}{24}}$*, then the upper bound of* E_0 *may go to* ∞ *as* γ *goes to 1, in spite that* $\|H_0\|_{L^2}$ *is small.*

Remark 1.2. *The solution obtained in Theorem 1.1 becomes a classical one away from the initial time. More precisely, we establish a result on the existence of a classical solution to (1.1)-(1.5) under the assumption that* $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$ *and* $\|H_0\|_{L^2}$ *are suitably small. Moreover, we care more about the case that* γ *is near 1, so the assumption* $1 < \gamma \le \frac{3}{2}$ *is reasonable. Indeed, the initial energy except the* L^2*-norm of* H_0 *is allowed to be large when* γ *is near 1. When the far-field density is vacuum, our result in Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of that in [29]. It should be emphasized that for the case* γ *is some fixed constant, Theorem 1.1 is still applicable (necessarily after some modification for the proof).*

Remark 1.3. *If we remove* $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \le M_1$ *and* $\|\nabla H\|_{L^2} \le M_2$ *in (1.7) in Theorem 1.1, and assume instead that* $u_0, H_0 \in \dot{H}^\beta(\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1])$ *with* $\|u\|_{\dot{H}^\beta} \le \bar{M}_1$ *and* $\|H\|_{\dot{H}^\beta} \le \bar{M}_2$ *for some* $\bar{M}_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$*, Theorem 1.1 will still hold, and the* ε *in Theorem 1.1 will also depend on* $\bar{M}_i$ *instead of* M_i *correspondingly. This can be achieved by a similar way as in [29].*

Remark 1.4. *It should be noted that when the viscous coefficient* μ *is taken to be suitable large, the initial energy except the* L^2*- norm of* H_0 *could also be large, which together with the conclusion in Theorem 1.1, implies the fact that when* $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu^{-\alpha_1}$ *and* $\|H_0\|_{L^2}$ *are suitably small for some* $\alpha_1 > 0$*, the existence of classical solutions to (1.1)-(1.5) could also be obtained. And this can be done by using a similar method as in [13], which considered the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, we omit it for simplicity in the present paper. Moreover,* *when $H = 0$, i.e., there is no electromagnetic effect, (1.1) reduces to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. Roughly speaking, we generalize the result of [13] to the compressible* MHD *equations.*

We now briefly make some comments on the analysis of the present paper. Note that the local existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.5) can be proved by combining the arguments in [11] with the higher order estimates in section 4 of [29]. Hence, to extend the classical solution globally in time, we just need some global a priori estimates on the smooth solution (ρ, u, H) in suitable regularity norms. Formally, the key to the proof is to get the time-independent upper bound of the density as well as the time-dependent higher norm estimates of (ρ, u, H). In this paper, the latter one follows in the same way as in [29] (see Lemmas 4.1-4.6), once the former one is achieved. To derived the upper bound of the density, on the one hand, we try to adapt some basic ideas in [12, 18, 29]. However, new difficulties arise in our analysis, since the smallness of $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$ does not result in the small initial energy. One the other hand, compared with compressible Navier-Stokes equations, the strong coupling and interplay interaction between the fluid motion and the magnetic field, such as $\nabla \times (u \times H)$ and $(\nabla \times H) \times H$, will bring out some new difficulties.

Precisely, in [12, 18, 29] the smallness of the initial energy was used to ensure the smallness of $\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2$ and $\|H_0\|_{L^2}$, which play crucial role in the proof of the upper bound of density. Similar to [13, 18, 29], here we need to close the a priori estimates A_1 and A_2. Compared with [13, 18], we not only need to handle the terms $|\nabla u|^2$, $|\nabla u|^3$, $|\nabla u|^4$, $P|\nabla u|^2$, $|P\nabla u|^2$, but the terms caused by $\nabla \times (u \times H)$ and $(\nabla \times H) \times H$, like $H \cdot \nabla H \cdot u$ and $\nabla |H|^2 \cdot u$. Adapting the idea developed in [13], we need to derive the smallness of $\int_0^{\sigma(T)} |\nabla u|^2$, but this is not trivial because of the lack of the smallness of $\|H_0\|_{L^2}$. The key observation to overcome this difficulty is as follows: Looking back to the basic energy $E_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_0|u_0|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\rho_0^\gamma + \frac{1}{2}|H_0|^2\right)$, the smallness of of $\gamma - 1$ could remove the smallness restriction upon ρ_0 and the term involving u_0 and ρ_0. But it has nothing to do with H_0. Moreover, For all terms in (1.1), we can never see any term in which ρ is coupled with H. Hence we assume that $\|H_0\|_{L^2} \le (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0$ is small, and then we succeed to derive some estimates on the smallness and boundedness of H and its derivatives with a key estimate $\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4$. Similar to [13, 18], we try to estimate A_1 and A_2 and achieve an inequality involving $|\nabla u|^2$, $|\nabla u|^3$, $|\nabla u|^4$, $P|\nabla u|^2$ and $|P\nabla u|^2$. And then we handle all these terms one the right hand side of the inequality with two crucial boundedness estimate (see Lemma 3.7). Thus the upper bound of ρ is obtained by a standard method as in [13, 18, 29], together with some new estimate (see (3.119) and (3.124)). It should be noted that during the process, the estimates obtained for H always play a key role, especially when controlling the coupled term $\nabla \times (u \times H)$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we first collect some elementary inequalities and facts which will be need in the later analysis. In section 3, we devote to derive the necessary lower-order a priori estimates on the classical solution which is independent of time. The time-dependent estimates on the higher-norms of the solutions will be proved in Section 4, and then Theorem 1.1 is proved.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall some elementary inequality and results which will be used used frequently later. We begin with the following well-known Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see [27]).

Lemma 2.1. *For* $2 \le p \le 6$, $1 < q < \infty$, *and* $3 < r < \infty$, *there exists a generic constant* $C > 0$, *depending only on* q *and* r, *such that for* $f \in H^1$ *and* $g \in L^q \cap D^{1,r}$, *we have*

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \le C\|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-p}{2p}} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\|g\|_{L^\infty} \le C\|g\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q(r-3)}{3r+q(r-3)}} \|g\|_{L^r}^{\frac{3r}{3r+q(r-3)}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Similar to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations (see, for example[13, 18]), one can easily derive the following elliptic equations from (1.1):

$$\Delta G = \mathrm{div}(\rho\dot{u}) - \mathrm{div}\mathrm{div}(H \otimes H), \quad \mu\Delta\omega = \nabla \times (\rho\dot{u} - \mathrm{div}(H \otimes H)). \tag{2.3}$$

We now state some elementary L^p-estimates for the elliptic equations in (2.3) by the virtue of (2.1).

Lemma 2.2. *Let* (ρ, u, H) *be a smooth solution to (1.1)-(1.5) on* $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T]$. *Then there exists a generic* $C > 0$, *which may depend on* μ *and* λ, *such that for any* $p \in [2, 6]$,

$$\|\nabla G\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla\omega\|_{L^p} \le C\left(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^p} + \|H \cdot \nabla H\|_{L^p}\right), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\|G\|_{L^6} + \|\omega\|_{L^6} \le C\left(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|H \cdot \nabla H\|_{L^2}\right), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \le C(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|P\|_{L^6} + \|H \cdot \nabla H\|_{L^2}), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4} \le\, & C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac14}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac34} + C\|P\|_{L^2}^{\frac14}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac34} + C\|H\|_{L^4}^{\frac12}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac34} \\ & + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac14}\|H \cdot \nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac34} + C\|P\|_{L^2}^{\frac14}\|H \cdot \nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac34} \\ & + C\|H\|_{L^4}^{\frac12}\|H \cdot \nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac34} + C\|P\|_{L^4}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. The proof of inequalities (2.4)-(2.6) can be found in Lemma 2.2 in [29]. Here we will prove (2.7). It follows from direct computation that

$$-\Delta u = -\nabla\mathrm{div}u + \nabla \times \omega, \tag{2.8}$$

then the standard L^p-estimate for elliptic equation, together with (2.1) and (2.4), leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \le\, & C\left(\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^p} + \|w\|_{L^p}\right) \\ \le\, & C\left(\|G\|_{L^p} + \|P\|_{L^p} + \||H|^2\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-p}{2p}}\left(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}} + \|H \cdot \nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}}\right)\right) \\ \le\, & C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-p}{2p}}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}} + C\|P\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-p}{2p}}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}} + C\|H\|_{L^4}^{\frac{2(6-p)}{2p}}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}} \\ & + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-p}{2p}}\|H \cdot \nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}} + C\|P\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-p}{2p}}\|H \cdot \nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}} \\ & + C\|H\|_{L^4}^{\frac{2(6-p)}{2p}}\|H \cdot \nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3p-6}{2p}} + C\|P\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Let $p = 4$ in (2.9), one gets (2.7). □

To obtain the uniform (in time) upper bound of the density, we need the following Zlotnik inequality.

Lemma 2.3 (see[38]). *Assume that the function y satisfies*

$$y'(t) = g(y) + b'(t) \quad \text{on} \quad [0,T], \;\; y(0) = y^0, \tag{2.10}$$

with $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $y, b \in W^{1,1}(0,T)$. If $g(\infty) = -\infty$ and

$$b(t_2) - b(t_1) \le N_0 + N_1(t_2 - t_1), \tag{2.11}$$

for all $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T$ with some $N_0 \ge 0$ and $N_1 \ge 0$, then

$$g(\xi) \le -N_1, \quad for \quad \xi \ge \bar{\xi}. \tag{2.12}$$

3 Time-independent estimates

In this section, we will derive the uniform time-independent estimates of the solution to (1.1)-(1.5) and the time-independent upper bound of the density. Assume that (ρ, u, H) is a smooth solution to (1.1)-(1.5) on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,T)$ for some positive time $T > 0$. Set $\sigma = \sigma(t) \triangleq \min\{1, t\}$ and define the following functionals:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1(T) &\triangleq \sup_{0\le t\le T} \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla H|^2\right) \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \sigma \left(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 + \|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right), \\
A_2(T) &\triangleq \sup_{0\le t\le T} \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + |\nabla^2 H|^2 + |H_t|^2\right) \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \sigma^2 \Big(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2\Big), \\
A_3(T) &\triangleq \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|H\|_{L^3}^3 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |H||\nabla H|^2, \\
A_4(T) &\triangleq \sup_{0\le t\le T} \sigma^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2, \\
A_5(T) &\triangleq \sup_{0\le t\le T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|u|^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Throughout this section, for simplicity we denote by C or C_i $(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$ the generic positive constants which may depend on μ, λ, ν, A, γ, $\bar{\rho}$, $\tilde{\rho}$, C_0, M_i $(i = 1,2)$ and $\|\rho_0\|_{L^1}$ but independent of time $T > 0$ and $\gamma - 1$. Sometimes $C(\alpha)$ is also used to emphasize the dependent of α. we state the key proposition in the present paper as follows.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that the initial data (ρ_0, u_0, H_0) satisfy (1.7)-(1.9). Let (ρ, u, H) be a smooth solution to problem (1.1)-(1.5) on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,T]$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases}
0 \le \rho(x,t) \le 2\bar{\rho}, \;\; (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T], \\
A_1(T) + A_2(T) \le 2\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad A_3(T) \le 2\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}}, \\
A_4(\sigma(T)) + A_5(\sigma(T)) \le 2\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}},
\end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

then

$$\begin{cases} 0 \le \rho(x,t) \le \dfrac{7}{4}\bar\rho, \ \ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T], \\ A_1(T) + A_2(T) \le \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac16} E_0^{\frac12}\right)^{\frac12}, \quad A_3(T) \le \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac16} E_0^{\frac12}\right)^{\frac19}, \\ A_4(\sigma(T)) + A_5(\sigma(T)) \le \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac16} E_0^{\frac12}\right)^{\frac19}, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

provided that

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac16} E_0^{\frac12} \le \varepsilon \triangleq \min\left\{ \left(\frac{\bar\rho}{2K_9}\right)^{16}, \varepsilon_5, \frac{\bar\rho}{4} \right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon_5 = \min\left\{\varepsilon_4, (C_4K_9)^{-1}, 1\right\}, \\ &\varepsilon_4 = \min\left\{\varepsilon_3, \frac{1}{K_6^{54}}, \left(C(\bar\rho)(\gamma-1)^{\frac13} K_6^{\frac32}\right)^{-\frac98}, 1\right\}, \\ &\varepsilon_3 = \min\left\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, 4C_3(\bar\rho))^{-27}, 1\right\}, \\ &\varepsilon_2 = \min\left\{\varepsilon_1, (4C_1)^{-\frac{27}{2}} + (4C_2)^{-27}\right\}, \\ &\varepsilon_1 = \min\left\{1, \left(4C(M_2^{\frac34} + K_1)\right)^{-9}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Proposition 3.1 can be derived from Lemmas 3.1-3.10 below. □

Lemma 3.1. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.1, we have*

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P \le (\gamma-1)E_0, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \le \frac{E_0}{\mu}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Multiplying $(1.1)_1$, $(1.1)_2$ and $(1.1)_3$ by $\frac{\gamma\rho^\gamma}{\gamma-1}$, u and H, respectively, and integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0\le t\le T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{P}{\gamma-1} + \frac12\rho|u|^2 + \frac12|H|^2\right) \\ &\qquad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\mu|\nabla u|^2 + (\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2 + \nu|\nabla H|^2\right) \le E_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

which gives (3.6) and (3.7). □

Lemma 3.2. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \le CK_1 \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac16} E_0^{\frac12}\right)^{\frac19}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $K_1 = \left(1 + (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{144}}\right)$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 &\le \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \int_{\sigma(T)}^T \sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \\
&\le \sup_{0\le t\le \sigma(T)} \left(\sigma^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\right)^2 \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \sigma^{-\frac{2}{3}} \\
&\quad + C\Big(\sup_{\sigma(T)\le t\le T} \sigma \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big) \int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{9}} + \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0 \\
&\le C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{9}} + C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} E_0 \\
&\le C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{9}} + C\left(1+(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{144}}\right) \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}} \\
&\le K_1 \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}}, \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

here $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 1$ and (1.9) have been used. Lemma 3.2 is proved. □

Lemma 3.3. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T} \left(\|H\|_{L^2}^2 + \sigma \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\right) \\
&\qquad + \int_0^T \left(\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2 + \sigma \|H_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \sigma \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\right) \le C K_2^2 (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \left(\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\right) \le C K_2 M_2. \qquad (3.12)
$$

where $K_2 = e^{2K_1}$.

Proof. Multiplying $(1.1)_3$ by H_t, then integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, using $(1.1)_4$, Hölder inequality and Cauchy inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} H \cdot H_t &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times (u \times H) \cdot H - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times (\nu \nabla \times H) \cdot H \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(H\cdot\nabla)u - (u\cdot\nabla)H - (\mathrm{div} u)H] \cdot H + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta H \cdot H \\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u||\nabla H||H| - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \\
&\le C\|u\|_{L^6} \|\nabla H\|_{L^2} \|H\|_{L^3} - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \\
&\le \epsilon \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 \|H\|_{L^2}^2 - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2, \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nu|\nabla H|^2\le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|H\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.14}$$

An application of Gronwall's inequality leads to

$$\|H\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^T\nu\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\le CK_2\|H_0\|_{L^2}^2\le Ce^{K_1}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.15}$$

Thanks to $(1.1)_3$ and Lemma 2.1, using integration by parts, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla H|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H_t|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2H|^2\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H_t-\triangle H|^2\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H\cdot\nabla u-u\cdot\nabla H-H\mathrm{div}u|^2\\
\le& C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

we consequently have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2+\left(\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2\right)\le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.17}$$

As before, Gronwall's inequality leads to

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^T\left(\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2\right)\le Ce^{K_1}M_2. \tag{3.18}$$

Multiplying (3.17) by σ, one has

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\right)+\sigma\left(\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2\right)\le C\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2+\sigma'\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.19}$$

Again, using Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\right)+\int_0^T\sigma\left(\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
\le& Ce^{K_1}\int_0^T\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\\
\le& Ce^{2K_1}\|H_0\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Combining (3.15), (3.18) and (3.20), we finish the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

Lemma 3.4. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|H\|_{L^3}^3+\int_0^T\left(\||H|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla H|\|_{L^2}^2+\|H\|_{L^9}^3\right)\le\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}}, \tag{3.21}$$

provided $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\le\varepsilon_1$, *where*

$$\varepsilon_1=\min\left\{\left(4C(M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}+K_1)\right)^{-9},1\right\}. \tag{3.22}$$

Proof. Multiplying $(1.1)_3$ by $3|H|H$, using integration by parts as in [29], we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H|^3+3\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H||\nabla H|^2+3\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H||\nabla|H||^2\\&\leq\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H||\nabla H|^2+\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H||\nabla|H||^2+C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|H\|_{L^3}^3.\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

Noticing that

$$\|H\|_{L^9}^3\leq C\||H|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^6}^2\leq C\||\nabla H||H|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2,\tag{3.24}$$

$$\|H\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}}\leq C\|H\|_{L^3}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|H\|_{L^9}^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq C\|H\|_{L^3}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla|H|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}},\tag{3.25}$$

substituting (3.24) and (3.25) into (3.23), using Cauchy inequality, we thus deduce that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H|^3+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H||\nabla H|^2\leq C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4.\tag{3.26}$$

Integrating (3.26) over $[0,T]$, by the virtue of Sobolev embedding inequality, one can derived that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|H\|_{L^3}^3+\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H||\nabla H|^2\\&\leq C\|H_0\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|H_0\|_{D^1}^{\frac{3}{2}}+CK_1\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{9}}\\&\leq CM_2^{\frac{3}{4}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}+CK_1\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{9}}\\&\leq C(M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}+K_1)\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{9}}\\&\leq\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}},\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

provided

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}<\min\left\{\left(4C(M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}+K_1)\right)^{-9},1\right\}\triangleq\varepsilon_1.\tag{3.28}$$

Then estimate (3.27), together with (3.24), yields (3.21). This ends up the proof of Lemma 3.4. □

Lemma 3.5. *Under the same assumption as in Lemma 3.4, we have*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\leq CK_3(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{3.29}$$

where $K_3=C(\bar{\rho})\left(1+(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}}+(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)$.

Proof. Multiplying (1.2) by u and then integrating the resulting equality over $\mathbb{R}^3$, and using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|u|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u|^2+(\lambda+\mu)|\mathrm{div}u|^2\right)\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P\mathrm{div}u+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(H\cdot\nabla H-\frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)u.\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

Integrating (3.30) over $(0,\sigma(T))$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}|\nabla u|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2\right)\\&\le\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_0|u_0|^2+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P\mathrm{div}u+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left[(H\cdot\nabla H-\frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2)u\right]\\&\le C\|\rho_0\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}\|u_0\|_{L^6}+\frac{\mu}{6}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2+C\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P^2+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|u\|_{L^6}\|H\|_{L^3}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\\&\le C\left((\gamma-1)E_0\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}+\frac{\mu}{4}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2+C(\bar\rho)(\gamma-1)E_0+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|H\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4dt\\&\le C\left((\gamma-1)E_0\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}+\frac{\mu}{4}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2+C(\bar\rho)(\gamma-1)E_0+C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}+1}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

It thus holds that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}|\nabla u|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2\right)\\&\le C\left((\gamma-1)E_0\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}+C(\bar\rho)(\gamma-1)E_0+C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}+1}\\&\le CK_3(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

Here we have used the condition $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\le 1$. We finish the proof of Lemma 3.5. □

Lemma 3.6. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}A_1(T)\le CK_4(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}&+C\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\\&+C\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^3+C\gamma\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P|\nabla u|^2\end{aligned}\tag{3.33}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}A_2(T)\le CK_5\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{11}{18}}&+C\gamma^2\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|P\nabla u|^2\\&+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|\nabla u|^4+CA_1(T),\end{aligned}\tag{3.34}$$

provided that

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\le\varepsilon_2\triangleq\min\left\{\varepsilon_1,(4C_1)^{-\frac{27}{2}}+(4C_2)^{-27}\right\},\tag{3.35}$$

where K_4 and K_5 are given by

$$K_4 = K_2^5 M_2 + K_2^2 + K_2^2 K_1, \tag{3.36}$$

$$K_5 = K_1 + K_2^2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{9}} + K_2^3 M_2. \tag{3.37}$$

Proof. The basic idea of the proof of this lemma is due to Hoff [12], Huang-Li-Xin [18], Li-Xu-Zhang [29] and Hou-Peng-Zhu [13]. Multiplying $(1.1)_2$ by $\sigma\dot{u}$, and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\rho|\dot{u}|^2 &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\dot{u}\cdot\nabla P + \mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\triangle u\cdot\dot{u}\\ &\quad + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla\mathrm{div}u\cdot\sigma\dot{u} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(H\cdot\nabla H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)\cdot\sigma\dot{u}\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathscr{E}_i. \end{aligned}\tag{3.38}$$

Moreover, using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{E}_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\dot{u}\cdot\nabla P\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\mathrm{div}u_t P + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\mathrm{div}(u\cdot\nabla u)P\\ &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int\sigma\mathrm{div}uP - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma' P\mathrm{div}u - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\mathrm{div}uP_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\mathrm{div}(u\cdot\nabla u)P\\ &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int\sigma\mathrm{div}uP - \sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P\mathrm{div}u + (\gamma-1)\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P|\mathrm{div}u|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma P|\nabla u|^2, \end{aligned}\tag{3.39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{E}_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mu\sigma\triangle u\cdot\dot{u}\\ &= -\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\nabla u\cdot\nabla u_t + \mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\triangle u\cdot(u\cdot\nabla u)\\ &\le -\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\int\sigma|\nabla u|^2\right)_t - \frac{\mu\sigma'}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2 + 2\mu\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^3 \end{aligned}\tag{3.40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{E}_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\mu+\lambda)\sigma\nabla\mathrm{div}u\cdot\dot{u}\\ &\le -\frac{\mu+\lambda}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma|\mathrm{div}u|^2 + \frac{\mu+\lambda}{2}\sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\mathrm{div}u|^2 + 2(\mu+\lambda)\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^3. \end{aligned}\tag{3.41}$$

It remains to estimate $\mathscr{E}_4$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{E}_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(H\cdot\nabla H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)\cdot(\sigma u_t + \sigma u\cdot\nabla u)\\ &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(H\cdot\nabla H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)\cdot\sigma u - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(H\cdot\nabla H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)_t\cdot\sigma u \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(H\cdot\nabla H-\frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)\cdot\sigma' u+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\left(H\cdot\nabla H-\frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)\cdot(u\cdot\nabla u)\\
\le{}&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(H\cdot\nabla H-\frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)\cdot\sigma u+C\sigma\|H\|_{L^6}\|H_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\\
&+\sigma'\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|H\|_{L^4}^2+C\sigma\|H\|_{L^6}\|\nabla H\|_{L^6}\|u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\
\le{}&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(H\cdot\nabla H-\frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)\cdot\sigma u+C\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^6+\sigma\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2+\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^3\\
&+C\sigma'\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+C\sigma'\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\|H\|_{L^3}^2+C\sigma\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2+\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.42)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (3.39)-(3.42) into (3.38), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\mu+\lambda}{2}\sigma\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&\quad+\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}uP-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(H\cdot\nabla H-\frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)\cdot\sigma u\Big\}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\rho|\dot{u}|^2\\
\le{}&\frac{\mu\sigma'}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2+2\mu\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^3+\frac{\mu+\lambda}{2}\sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\mathrm{div}u|^2+2(\mu+\lambda)\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^3\\
&+C\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^6+\sigma\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2+\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^3-\sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P\mathrm{div}u+\gamma\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P|\nabla u|^2\\
&+C\sigma'\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+C\sigma'\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\|H\|_{L^3}^2+C\sigma\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2+\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.43)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrate (3.43) over $(0,T)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\mu+\lambda}{2}\sigma\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}^2\right)+\int_0^T\sigma\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\\
\le{}&\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(H\cdot\nabla H-\frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)\cdot u+C\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2+C(4\mu+\lambda+1)\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^3\\
&+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|P\mathrm{div}u|+\gamma\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P|\nabla u|^2+\int_0^T\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^6+\int_0^T\sigma\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\|H\|_{L^3}^2+C(\gamma-1)E_0+\int_0^T\sigma\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^T\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\\
\le{}&\epsilon\mu\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{C(\epsilon)}{\mu}\sigma\|H\|_{L^4}^4+C\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2+C\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^3\\
&+C\gamma\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P|\nabla u|^2+C(\bar{\rho},\epsilon)(\gamma-1)E_0+CK_2^5M_2\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2\\
&+CK_2^2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}+K_2^2\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{1+\frac{2}{27}}+K_2^2K_1\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{9}}, \qquad (3.44)
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to

$$
\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\frac{\mu}{4}\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\mu+\lambda}{2}\sigma\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}^2\right)+\int_0^T\sigma\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2dt
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le CK_4(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2 + C\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^3\\
&\quad + C\gamma\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P|\nabla u|^2. \qquad (3.45)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by the virtue of (3.11), we get (3.33).

Next, operating $\partial_t + \mathrm{div}(u\cdot)$ to the both sides of the jth equation of $(1.1)_2$, yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\rho\dot{u}^j)_t + \mathrm{div}(\rho u\dot{u}^j) - \mu\Delta\dot{u}^j - (\mu+\lambda)\partial_j\mathrm{div}\dot{u}\\
&= \mu\partial_i(-\partial_i u\cdot\nabla u^j + \mathrm{div}\,u\partial_i u^j) - \mu\mathrm{div}(\partial_i u\partial_i u^j) - (\mu+\lambda)\partial_j\left[\partial_i u\cdot\nabla u^i - |\mathrm{div}u|^2\right]\\
&\quad - \mathrm{div}(\partial_j u(\mu+\lambda)\mathrm{div}u) + (\gamma-1)\partial_j(P\mathrm{div}u) + \mathrm{div}(P\partial_j u)\\
&\quad + \left[(H\cdot\nabla H^j)_t + \mathrm{div}(uH\cdot\nabla H^j)\right] - \frac{1}{2}\left[(\partial_j|H|^2)_t + \mathrm{div}(u\partial_j|H|^2)\right]. \qquad (3.46)
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying (3.46) by $\sigma^m\dot{u}^j$ for $m\ge 0$, and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain after summing them with respect to j that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\nabla\dot{u}|^2 + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\mathrm{div}\dot{u}|^2\\
&= \frac{m}{2}\sigma^{m-1}\sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\partial_i\dot{u}^j(\partial_i u\cdot\nabla u^i - \mathrm{div}\,u\partial_i u^j)\\
&\quad + \mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\partial_k\dot{u}^j\partial_i u^k\partial_i u^j + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\partial_j\dot{u}^j\left[(\mu+\lambda)\partial_i u\cdot\nabla u^i - \mu|\mathrm{div}u|^2\right]\\
&\quad + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\partial_k\dot{u}^j\partial_j u^k\mathrm{div}u - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\partial_k\dot{u}^j\partial_j u^k P\\
&\quad - (\gamma-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\partial_j\dot{u}^j\partial_k u^k P - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\dot{u}^j\left[(\partial_j|H|^2)_t + \mathrm{div}(u\partial_j|H|^2)\right]\\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\dot{u}^j\left[(H\cdot\nabla H^j)_t + \mathrm{div}(uH\cdot\nabla H^j)\right]\\
&\le \frac{m}{2}\sigma^{m-1}\sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\nabla\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{\mu+\lambda}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\mathrm{div}\dot{u}|^2\\
&\quad + C\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\nabla u|^4 + C(\mu+\lambda)\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\nabla u|^4 + C\gamma^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|P\nabla u|^2\\
&\quad + C_1\sigma^m\|H\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\sigma^m\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right)\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le \frac{m}{2}\sigma^{m-1}\sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\nabla\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{\mu+\lambda}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\mathrm{div}\dot{u}|^2\\
&\quad + C\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\nabla u|^4 + C\gamma^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|P\nabla u|^2 + C_1\sigma^m\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + C\sigma^m\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right)\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad (3.47)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\nabla\dot{u}|^2 - C_1\sigma^m\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2
$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\le C\sigma^{m-1}\sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot u|^2+C\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\nabla u|^4+C\gamma^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|P\nabla u|^2\\&\quad+C\sigma^m\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4+\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right)\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.48}$$

Similar to [29], noting that

$$H_{tt}-\nu\Delta H_t=(H\cdot\nabla u-u\cdot\nabla H-H\mathrm{div}u)_t,\tag{3.49}$$

and using the identity $u_t=\dot u-u\cdot\nabla u$, we obtain by direct computation that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac12\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|H_t|^2+\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\nabla H_t|^2-\frac{m}{2}\sigma^{m-1}\sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H_t|^2\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m(H_t\cdot\nabla u-u\cdot\nabla H_t-H_t\mathrm{div}u)\cdot H_t\\&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m(H\cdot\nabla\dot u-\dot u\cdot\nabla H-H\mathrm{div}\dot u)\cdot H_t\\&\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m\left[H\cdot\nabla(u\cdot\nabla u)-(u\cdot\nabla u)\cdot\nabla H-H\mathrm{div}(u\cdot\nabla u)\right]\cdot H_t\\&\triangleq\sum_{i=1}^{3}\mathscr{N}_i.\end{aligned}\tag{3.50}$$

It follows from Cauchy inequality, Sobolev inequality and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{N}_1&\le\epsilon\nu\sigma^m\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\sigma^m\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4,\\\mathscr{N}_2&\le C\|H\|_{L^3}\sigma^m(\|\nabla\dot u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2)\\&\le C_2\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac16}E_0^{\frac12}\right)^{\frac{1}{27}}\sigma^m(\|\nabla\dot u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2),\\\mathscr{N}_3&\le C\sigma^m\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.51}$$

Thanks to (2.6), (3.6) and Sobolev inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}&\le C(\|\rho\dot u\|_{L^2}+\|P\|_{L^6}+\|H\cdot\nabla H\|_{L^2})\\&\le C(\bar\rho)\left(\|\rho^{\frac12}\dot u\|_{L^2}+\|H\|_{L^3}\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}\right)+C(\bar\rho)(\gamma-1)^{\frac16}E_0^{\frac16}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.52}$$

Combining (3.51) and (3.52), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{N}_3&\le\frac{\nu}{8}\sigma^m\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2+C\sigma^m\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4+\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right)\|H\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2\\&\quad+C\sigma^m\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho^{\frac12}\dot u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2+C\sigma^m\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2(\gamma-1)^{\frac13}E_0^{\frac13}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.53}$$

Consequently, substituting (3.51)-(3.53) into (3.50) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|H_t|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^m|\nabla H_t|^2-C_2\nu\sigma^m\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac16}E_0^{\frac12}\right)^{\frac{1}{27}}(\|\nabla\dot u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2)\\&\le\frac{m}{2}C\sigma^{m-1}\sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H_t|^2+C\sigma^m\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho^{\frac12}\dot u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\\&\quad+C\sigma^m\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4+\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right)\left(\|H\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$+ C\sigma^m\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{3.54}$$

Thus, taking $m = 2$ in (3.48) and (3.54), and integrating the resulting equation over $(0,T)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\sigma^2\left(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)+\int_0^T\sigma^2\left(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&\le CK_5\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{11}{18}}+C\gamma^2\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|P\nabla u|^2\\
&\quad+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|\nabla u|^4+CA_1(T),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

provided that

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\le\varepsilon_2\triangleq\min\left\{\varepsilon_1,(4C_1)^{-\frac{27}{2}}+(4C_2)^{-27}\right\}, \tag{3.56}$$

where we have used the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\sigma^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\sigma^2\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\right)\int_0^T\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4+\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right)\\
&\le CK_1\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{11}{18}}+CK_2^3M_2\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\sigma^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\le C\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\right)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}}\int_0^T\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le CK_2^2\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\le CK_2^2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{23}{72}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\sigma^2\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4+\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right)\left(\|H\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&\le C\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\sigma^2\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2\right)\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}}\int_0^T\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\\
&\quad+\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\sigma^2\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\int_0^T\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\\
&\le CK_1\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{37}{54}}+CK_2^3M_2\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\\
&\le C(K_1+K_2^3M_2)\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{11}{18}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

Here, to obtained (3.57)-(3.59), (3.11) and (3.12) have been used.

Furthermore, it holds from $(1.1)_3$ that

$$\Delta H=H_t-(H\cdot\nabla u-u\cdot\nabla H-\mathrm{div}uH), \tag{3.60}$$

then standard L^p estimate for elliptic equation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2} &\leq C\left(\|H_t\|_{L^2}+\|H\cdot\nabla u-u\cdot\nabla H-\mathrm{div}uH\|_{L^2}\right)\\ &\leq C\left(\|H_t\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

By (3.1) and (3.55), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left(\sigma^2\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\ \leq{}& C\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\left(\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\ \leq{}& C\sup_{0\leq t\leq \sigma(T)}\sigma^2\left(\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\right)+C\sup_{\sigma(T)\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\left(\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\right)\\ &+C\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\\ \leq{}& C\sup_{0\leq t\leq \sigma(T)}\left((\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2)\left(\sigma^{\frac{1}{3}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\right)^2\right)+C\sup_{\sigma(T)\leq t\leq T}\left(\left(\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\right)\left(\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\right)^2\right)\\ &+CK_5\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{11}{18}}+C\gamma^2\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|P\nabla u|^2\\ &+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|\nabla u|^4+CA_1(T)\\ \leq{}& CK_5\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{11}{18}}+C\gamma^2\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|P\nabla u|^2\\ &+C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|\nabla u|^4+CA_1(T).\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

Due to (3.11), (3.55) and (3.62), we finally get (3.34). And Lemma 3.6 is proved. □

The following lemma will play a crucial role in the proof of the upper bound of the density.

Lemma 3.7. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}^2\right)+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2\leq K_6, \tag{3.63}$$

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}t\left(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}t\left(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\leq K_7, \tag{3.64}$$

and

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2\leq C(K_6+1), \tag{3.65}$$

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma\left(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)+\int_0^T\sigma\left(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\leq C(K_7+1), \tag{3.66}$$

provided that

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq\varepsilon_3\triangleq\min\left\{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,4C_3(\bar{\rho}))^{-27},1\right\}, \tag{3.67}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_6 &= C(\bar{\rho})(K_2M_2 + K_3 + K_2^2 + M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1) + C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{9}} + CK_3(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}} \\ &\quad + CK_2M_2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}} + CK_3(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + C(K_3+1)(\gamma-1), \qquad (3.68) \\ K_7 &= 2\max\{K_7^1, K_7^2\}, \qquad (3.69) \\ K_7^1 &= CK_6 + CK_6^{\frac{3}{2}} + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}}K_2M_2 + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + C(K_6^2 + K_2^2M_2^2) \\ &\quad + C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}(K_6^{\frac{3}{2}} + K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}K_2M_2 + K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ K_7^2 &= CK_2M_2 + CK_6^2K_2^2 + CK_2^4M_2^2 + C(K_6^2 + CK_2^2M_2^2)K_2^2 + CK_6K_2^2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{9}}. \qquad (3.70) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying $(1.1)_2$ by u_t, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\mu|\nabla u|^2 + (\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2\Big)_t - \Big(P\mathrm{div}u + \Big(H\cdot\nabla H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\Big)\cdot u\Big)_t \\ &= -\Big(H\cdot\nabla H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\Big)_t\cdot u - P_t\mathrm{div}u + \rho u\cdot\nabla u\cdot\dot{u}. \qquad (3.71) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.71) over $\mathbb{R}^3$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\mu|\nabla u|^2 + (\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2 - P\mathrm{div}u - \Big(H\cdot\nabla H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\Big)\Big)\cdot u + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\dot{u}\cdot(u\cdot\nabla u) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(H\cdot\nabla H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\Big)_t\cdot u - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P_t\mathrm{div}u \\ &\le C(\bar{\rho})\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{3}}u\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}u\mathrm{div}(Pu) + (\gamma-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P|\mathrm{div}u|^2 \\ &\quad + \|H\|_{L^3}\|H_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6} \\ &\le C(\bar{\rho})\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{3}}u\|_{L^3}\Big(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|P\|_{L^6} + \|H\cdot\nabla H\|_{L^2}\Big) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}Pu\nabla\mathrm{div}u \\ &\quad + C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|H\|_{L^3}\|H_t\|_{L^2}\Big(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|P\|_{L^6} + \|H\cdot\nabla H\|_{L^2}\Big) \\ &\le C(\bar{\rho})\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T)) + C(\bar{\rho})\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T))\|P\|_{L^6} \\ &\quad + C(\bar{\rho})\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T))\|H\|_{L^3}\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2} - \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}Pu\cdot\nabla G + \frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}uP^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}Pu\cdot\nabla|H|^2 + C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\bar{\rho})\|H\|_{L^3}\|H_t\|_{L^2}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C\|H\|_{L^3}\|H_t\|_{L^2}\|P\|_{L^6} + C\|H\|_{L^3}^2\|H_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2} \\ &\le \frac{1}{8}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\bar{\rho})\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T)) + C(\bar{\rho})A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T))\|P\|_{L^6}^2 \\ &\quad + C(\bar{\rho})A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T))\|H\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|P\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\Big(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|H\|_{L^3}\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}\Big) \\ &\quad + C\|P\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|P\|_{L^4}^2 + C(\bar{\rho})\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|H\|_{L^3}^2 + C(\bar{\rho})\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C(\bar{\rho})\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|P\|_{L^6}^2 + C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_3(\bar{\rho})\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T)) + C(\bar{\rho})A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T))(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\quad + C(\bar{\rho})A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T))\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}}E_0^{\frac{2}{3}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}}E_0^{\frac{2}{3}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}}E_0^{\frac{2}{3}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(\bar{\rho})\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + C(\bar{\rho})\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\quad + C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\bar{\rho})\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2. \qquad (3.72)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating (3.72) over $(0, \sigma(T))$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mu}{2}\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2\\
&\leq C(\bar{\rho})A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T))(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}} + C(\bar{\rho})A_5^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma(T))\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}}E_0^{\frac{2}{3}}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}}E_0^{\frac{2}{3}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}}E_0^{\frac{2}{3}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 + (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(\bar{\rho})\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + C(\bar{\rho})\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\quad + C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\bar{\rho})\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u|^2 + (\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2 - P\mathrm{div}u - \left(H\cdot\nabla H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla|H|^2\right)\right)\cdot u\Big|_{t=0}\\
&\leq C(\bar{\rho})(K_2M_2 + K_3 + K_2^2 + M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}M_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1) + C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{9}} + CK_3(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}}\\
&\quad + CK_2M_2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}} + CK_3(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + C(K_3+1)(\gamma-1), \qquad (3.73)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \min\{(4C_3(\bar{\rho}))^{-27}, 1\}$, then we get (3.63).

Taking $m = 1$ in (3.48), one has

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma|\nabla\dot{u}|^2 - C_1\sigma\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le C\sigma' \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\dot{u}|^2 + C\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma|\nabla u|^4 + C\gamma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma|P\nabla u|^2 \\
&\quad + C\sigma \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right) \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.74}
$$

Integrating (3.74) over $(0,\sigma(T))$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma|\nabla\dot{u}|^2 - C_1 \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \sigma \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}} \|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le C \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\dot{u}|^2 + C\mu \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma|\nabla u|^4 + C\gamma^2 \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma|P\nabla u|^2 \\
&\quad + C \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \sigma \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right) \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le CK_6 + CK_6^{\frac{3}{2}} + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} K_2 M_2 + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + C \left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma^2|\nabla u|^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \left[\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^2 + \Big(\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)} \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^2\right] \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \sigma\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le CK_6 + CK_6^{\frac{3}{2}} + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} K_2 M_2 + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + C(K_6^2 + K_2^2 M_2^2) \\
&\quad + C(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} (K_6^{\frac{3}{2}} + K_6^{\frac{1}{2}} K_2 M_2 + K_6^{\frac{1}{2}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\triangleq K_7^1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.75}
$$

where we have used the condition $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 1$ and the following estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{\sigma(T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma|\nabla u|^4 \\
&\le \sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^3 \\
&\le CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \sigma\Big(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3 + \|P\|_{L^6}^3 + \|H\cdot\nabla H\|_{L^2}^3\Big) \\
&\le CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)} \sigma^2\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \sigma\|H\|_{L^3}^3 \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3 \\
&\le CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} (A_2(T))^{\frac{1}{2}} K_6 + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)} \sigma^2\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} (A_2(T))^{\frac{1}{2}} K_6 + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} (A_2(T))^{\frac{1}{2}} K_2 M_2 \\
&\le CK_6^{\frac{3}{2}} + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} K_2 M_2 + CK_6^{\frac{1}{2}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.76}
$$

Similarly, Taking $m=1$ in (3.54), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma|H_t|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma|\nabla H_t|^2-C_2\sigma\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{27}}\left(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&\leq\frac{C}{2}\sigma'\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H_t|^2+C\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad+C\sigma\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4+\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right)\left(\|H\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&\quad+C\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}}
\end{aligned}\tag{3.77}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma|H_t|^2+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma|\nabla H_t|^2-C_2\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{27}}\left(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&\leq C\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|H_t|^2+C\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad+C\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\sigma\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4+\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^4\right)\left(\|H\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&\quad+C\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\leq CK_2M_2+C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big)\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad+C\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{27}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^2\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\sigma\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad+C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad+C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^2\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\sigma\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad+C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}E_0^{\frac{1}{3}}\\
&\leq CK_2M_2+CK_6^2K_2^2+CK_2^2M_2^2+C(K_6^2+CK_2^2M_2^2)K_2^2+CK_6K_2^2(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{9}}\\
&\triangleq K_7^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.78}$$

Combining (3.75) and (3.78), we deduce

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)}t\Big(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2\Big)+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}t\Big(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2\Big)\leq K_7,\tag{3.79}$$

provided that

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq\min\Big\{\varepsilon_2,(4C_1)^{-\frac{27}{2}}+(4C_2)^{-27},1\Big\},\tag{3.80}$$

where $K_7 = 2\max\{K_7^1, K_7^2\}$. And this leads to (3.64). By (3.2), (3.63) and (3.64), we can get (3.65) and (3.66). Then we finish the proof of Lemma 3.7. $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.1, we get that*

$$A_4(\sigma(T)) + A_5(\sigma(T)) \leq \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}}, \tag{3.81}$$

provided that

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varepsilon_4 \triangleq \min\left\{\varepsilon_3, \frac{1}{K_6^{54}}, \left(C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} K_6^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{-\frac{9}{8}}, 1\right\}. \tag{3.82}$$

Proof. It follows from (3.2) and (3.64) that

$$\begin{aligned} A_4(\sigma(T)) &\triangleq \sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)} \sigma^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)} \sigma\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{4}} \Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\leq K_6^{\frac{3}{4}} \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \\ &\leq \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

provided $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \min\left\{\varepsilon_3, \frac{1}{K_6^{54}}, 1\right\}$.

Now, to end up the proof of Lemma 3.8, it remains to estimate $A_5(\sigma(T))$. Due to (3.64), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} A_5(\sigma(T)) &= \sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|u|^3 \\ &\leq \sup_{0\leq t\leq\sigma(T)} \left(\|\rho\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^6}^3\right) \\ &\leq C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} K_6^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C(\bar{\rho}) K_6^{\frac{3}{2}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{9}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.84}$$

provided that

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \min\left\{\left(C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} K_6^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{-\frac{9}{8}}, 1\right\}. \tag{3.85}$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.9. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.1, we get that*

$$A_1(T) + A_2(T) \leq \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.86}$$

provided

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \varepsilon_5 \triangleq \min\left\{\varepsilon_4, (C_4K_9)^{-1}, 1\right\}, \tag{3.87}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_9 &= (K_4+K_5) + K_3 + K_8^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{12\times 24}} + \sqrt[4]{K_8^{\tilde{2}}K_8}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{12\times 24}} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{K_8^{\tilde{2}}}K_8^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_8, \\
K_8^{\tilde{1}} &= C(K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}} + C(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{7}{9}} + CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}}M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}(K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1) \\
&\quad + CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}}M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + CK_2^{\frac{5}{4}}M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}} + CK_2^{\frac{7}{2}}M_2^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\
K_8^{\tilde{2}} &= C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}} + CK_2^{\frac{15}{4}}M_2^{\frac{3}{4}} + K_8^{\tilde{1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.88}$$

Proof. From (3.33) and (3.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_1(T) + A_2(T) \\
&\le C(K_4+K_5)\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{11}{18}} + C\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2 + C\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^3 \\
&\quad + C\gamma\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P|\nabla u|^2 + C\gamma^2\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|P\nabla u|^2 + C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|\nabla u|^4 \\
&\le C(K_4+K_5)\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{11}{18}} + \sum_{i=1}^{5}\mathscr{T}_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.89}$$

An application of (2.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{T}_5 &= C\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|\nabla u|^4 \\
&\le C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3 + C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|P\|_{L^2}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3 + C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3 \\
&\quad + C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3 + C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|P\|_{L^2}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3 \\
&\quad + C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3 + C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|P\|_{L^4}^4 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{7}J_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.90}$$

Thanks to (1.9), (3.2), (3.6), (3.65) and (3.66), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\le C(\bar{\rho})\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\sigma^2\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^T\sigma\|\rho\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le C(\bar{\rho})(K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}}E_0^{\frac{2}{3}}(K_7+1) \\
&\le C(\bar{\rho})(K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{17}{24}}E_0^{\frac{19}{24}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(\bar{\rho})(K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{3.91}$$

Similarly, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|P\|_{L^2}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3\\
&\leq C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|P\|_{L^2}\Big)\int_0^T\sigma\|\rho\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\leq C(\bar{\rho})(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{29}{24}}E_0^{\frac{31}{24}}\\
&\leq C(\bar{\rho})(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{7}{9}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.92}$$

It follows from (1.9), (3.2), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.65)-(3.66) that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &\leq C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3\\
&\leq CK_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^T\sigma\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\leq CK_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^T\sigma\|\rho\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\leq CK_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}}E_0^{\frac{2}{3}}\\
&\leq CK_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{3}{4}}E_0^{\frac{11}{12}}\\
&\leq CK_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.93}$$

Moreover, J_4 to J_6 can be estimated, in a similar way, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_4 &\leq C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3\\
&\leq C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big)\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^T\sigma\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\leq CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}}M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}(K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.94}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_5 &\leq C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|P\|_{L^2}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3\\
&\leq C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big)\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|P\|_{L^2}\Big)\int_0^T\sigma\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\leq CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}}M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\le CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}}M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{3.95}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_6 &\le C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3\\
&\le C\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\sigma^2\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\Big)\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big)\int_0^T\sigma\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le CK_2^3M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.96}$$

In order to obtain desired estimate on $\mathscr{T}_5$, it suffices to estimate J_7. One can deduce from $(1.1)_1$ that

$$P_t + u\cdot\nabla P + \gamma P\mathrm{div}u = 0. \tag{3.97}$$

In terms of the effective viscous flux G, we can rewrite (3.97) as

$$P_t + u\cdot\nabla P + \frac{\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}PG + \frac{\gamma}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}P|H|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}P^2 = 0. \tag{3.98}$$

Multiplying (3.98) by $3\sigma^2P^2$ and integrating the resulting equality over $\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,T]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|P\|_{L^4}^4\\
&\le C\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\sigma^2\|P\|_{L^3}^3) + C\int_0^T\sigma\sigma'\|P\|_{L^3}^3 + C\int_0^T\sigma^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P^3G\\
&\quad + C\int_0^T\sigma^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P^3|H|^2\\
&\le C(\bar\rho)(\gamma-1)E_0 + \epsilon\int_0^T\sigma^2\|P\|_{L^4}^4 + C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|G\|_{L^4}^4\\
&\quad + \int_0^T\sigma^2\|H\|_{L^8}^8.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.99}$$

To handle the terms on the right hand side of (3.99), we have by (2.2), (3.11)-(3.12) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\sigma^2\|H\|_{L^8}^8\\
&\le C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|H\|_{L^\infty}\|H\|_{L^6}^4\|H\|_{L^9}^3\\
&\le C\int_0^T\sigma^2\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{9}{2}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|H\|_{L^9}^3\\
&\le C\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\sigma^2\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\sigma\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{3}{4}}\int_0^T\|H\|_{L^9}^3\\
&\le CK_2^{\frac{15}{4}}M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{13}{8}+\frac{1}{9}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.100}$$

Furthermore, we have also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|G\|_{L^4}^4 \\
\leq\, & C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|G\|_{L^2}\|G\|_{L^6}^3 \\
\leq\, & C\int_0^T \sigma^2\left((2\mu+\lambda)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}+\|P\|_{L^2}\right)\left(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3+\|H\cdot\nabla H\|_{L^2}^3\right) \\
& + C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3+\|H\cdot\nabla H\|_{L^2}^3) \\
\leq\, & C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3 + C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|P\|_{L^2}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3 \\
& + C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3 + C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|P\|_{L^2}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3 \\
& + C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3 + C\int_0^T \sigma^2\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|H\|_{L^3}^3\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3 \\
\leq\, & C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^T\sigma\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& + C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|P\|_{L^2}\Big)\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^T\sigma\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& + C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big)\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^T\sigma\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& + C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|P\|_{L^2}\Big)\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big)\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^T\sigma\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& + C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{3}{4}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^T\sigma\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& + C\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{3}{4}}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big) \\
& \quad\cdot\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sigma^2\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^T\sigma\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 \\
\leq\, & C(K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)(K_7+1)\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}}E_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& + C(K_7+1)\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{7}{6}}E_0^{\frac{7}{6}} \\
& + CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}}M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}(K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}}M_2^{\frac{3}{4}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ CK_2^{\frac{5}{4}} M_2^{\frac{3}{4}} (K_7+1) \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}} E_0^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
&+ CK_2^{\frac{7}{2}} M_2^{\frac{3}{2}} \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
\le{}& K_8^{\tilde{1}} \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \qquad (3.101)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 1$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_8^{\tilde{1}} = {}& C(K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}} + C(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{7}{9}} + CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}} M_2^{\frac{3}{4}} (K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1) \\
&+ CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}} M_2^{\frac{3}{4}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + CK_2^{\frac{5}{4}} M_2^{\frac{3}{4}} (K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}} + CK_2^{\frac{7}{2}} M_2^{\frac{3}{2}}. \qquad (3.102)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (3.100) and (3.101) into (3.99), assuming $((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_7 &= C \int_0^T \sigma^2 \|P\|_{L^4}^4 \\
&\le C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)E_0 + C \int_0^T \sigma^2 \|G\|_{L^4}^4 + \int_0^T \sigma^2 \|H\|_{L^8}^8 \\
&\le K_8^{\tilde{2}} \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \qquad (3.103)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
K_8^{\tilde{2}} = C(\bar{\rho})(\gamma-1)^{\frac{2}{3}} + CK_2^{\frac{15}{4}} M_2^{\frac{3}{4}} + K_8^{\tilde{1}}. \qquad (3.104)
$$

Combining (3.90)-(3.96) and (3.103), we consequently get that

$$
\mathscr{T}_5 = C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma^2 |\nabla u|^4 \le K_8 \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \qquad (3.105)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_8 = {}& C(\bar{\rho})(K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}} + C(\bar{\rho})(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{7}{9}} \\
&+ CK_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(K_7+1)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{4}{9}} + CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}} M_2^{\frac{3}{4}} (K_6^{\frac{1}{2}}+1) \\
&+ CK_2^{\frac{9}{4}} M_2^{\frac{3}{4}} (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{3}} + CK_2^3 M_2^{\frac{3}{4}} + K_8^{\tilde{2}}. \qquad (3.106)
\end{aligned}
$$

It holds from (3.29) that

$$
\mathscr{T}_1 = C \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \le CK_3 (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}. \qquad (3.107)
$$

To estimate $\mathscr{T}_2$, due to Hölder inequality, (3.7), (1.9) and (3.105), we deduce

$$
\mathscr{T}_2 = C \int_0^T \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^3
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le C\left(\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|\nabla u|^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le CE_0^{\frac{1}{2}}K_8^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\\
&\le CK_8^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{12\times 24}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{7}{12}}. \qquad (3.108)
\end{aligned}$$

As for $\mathscr{T}_4$, by Hölder inequality, (3.103) and (3.105), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{T}_4 &= C\gamma^2\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sigma^2|P\nabla u|^2\\
&\le C\left(\int_0^T\sigma^2\|P\|_{L^4}^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T\sigma^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le C\sqrt{K_8^{\tilde{2}}}K_8^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \qquad (3.109)
\end{aligned}$$

Now it remains to estimate $\mathscr{T}_3$. It follows from (3.7), (3.103) and (3.105) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{T}_3 &= C\gamma\int_0^T\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P|\nabla u|^2\\
&\le C\left(\int_0^T\sigma^2\|P\|_{L^4}^4\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^T\sigma^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^T\sigma^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le CE_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt[4]{K_8^{\tilde{2}}K_8}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\\
&\le C\sqrt[4]{K_8^{\tilde{2}}K_8}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{12\times 24}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{7}{12}}. \qquad (3.110)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we deduce from (3.105), (3.107)-(3.110) that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1(T)+A_2(T) &\le C(K_4+K_5)\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{11}{18}}+CK_3(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad+CK_8^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{12\times 24}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{7}{12}}\\
&\quad+C\sqrt[4]{K_8^{\tilde{2}}K_8}(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{12\times 24}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{7}{12}}\\
&\quad+C\sqrt{K_8^{\tilde{2}}}K_8^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}+K_8\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\\
&\le CK_9\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{11}{18}}\\
&\le\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (3.111)
\end{aligned}$$

provided that

$$(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\le\min\left\{(CK_9)^{-9},1\right\}. \qquad (3.112)$$

where K_9 is given by

$$K_9 = (K_4 + K_5) + K_3 + K_8^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma - 1)^{\frac{1}{12\times 24}} + \sqrt[4]{K_8^{\tilde{2}} K_8}(\gamma - 1)^{\frac{1}{12\times 24}}$$
$$+ \sqrt{K_8^{\tilde{2}}} K_8^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_8. \tag{3.113}$$

And to get (3.111), we have used the facts that $(\gamma - 1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1$. Then we finish the proof of Lemma 3.9. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the upper bound of the density.

Lemma 3.10. *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{7}{4}\bar{\rho}, \tag{3.114}$$

provided that

$$(\gamma - 1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \min\left\{\varepsilon_5, \left(\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2K_9}\right)^{16}, \frac{\bar{\rho}}{4C(\bar{\rho})(1 + K_2^2)}\right\}, \tag{3.115}$$

where

$$K_{10} = K_7^{\frac{5}{16}} + K_2^{\frac{3}{2}} M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_2^{\frac{1}{2}} M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_2^{\frac{3}{8}} M_2^{\frac{3}{8}} K_7^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.116}$$

Proof. Let $D_t \triangleq \partial_t + u \cdot \nabla$ denote the material derivative operator. Then, in terms of the effective viscous flux G, we can rewrite (1.1) as

$$D_t\rho = g(\rho) + b'(\rho),$$

where

$$g(\rho) \triangleq \frac{\rho P}{2\mu + \lambda}, \quad b(t) = -\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda}\int_0^t \left(\rho G + \frac{1}{2}\rho|H|^2\right). \tag{3.117}$$

Moreover, it follows from Lemmas 2.1-2.2, (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C\|G\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla G\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C\left(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)\left(\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}\right) \\
&\leq C\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
&\quad + C\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} + C\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.118}$$

For $t \in [0.\sigma(T)]$, one can deduce that for all $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \sigma(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|b(t_2) - b(t_1)| &\leq C\int_0^{\sigma(T)} \left(\|G\|_{L^\infty} + \|H\|_{L^\infty}^2\right) \\
&\leq C\int_0^{\sigma(T)} \|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\int_0^{\sigma(T)} \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}+\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\\
&\le C\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}}t^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}t\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\\
&\quad+C\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad+\left(\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)}t^2\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}\\
&\quad+C\left(\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)}\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)}t^2\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\left(t^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le CK_7^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\left(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 t\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}t^{-\frac{2}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}+CK_2^{\frac{3}{2}}M_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad+CK_2^{\frac{1}{8}}M_2^{\frac{1}{8}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{3}{8}}\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}t^{-\frac{4}{5}}\right)^{\frac{5}{8}}\\
&\quad+CK_2^{\frac{3}{8}}M_2^{\frac{3}{8}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{16}}\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}t\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}t^{-\frac{2}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\\
&\le CK_7^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le\sigma(T)}\left(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 t\right)^{\frac{1}{16}}\Big)\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}\left(\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 t\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}t^{-\frac{2}{3}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\\
&\quad+CK_2^{\frac{3}{2}}M_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+CK_2^{\frac{1}{2}}M_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\\
&\quad+CK_2^{\frac{3}{8}}M_2^{\frac{3}{8}}K_7^{\frac{1}{4}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{16}}\\
&\le CK_7^{\frac{5}{16}}\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}t\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{3}{16}}\left(\int_0^{\sigma(T)}t^{-\frac{8}{9}}\right)^{\frac{9}{16}}+CK_2^{\frac{3}{2}}M_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad+CK_2^{\frac{1}{2}}M_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}+CK_2^{\frac{3}{8}}M_2^{\frac{3}{8}}K_7^{\frac{1}{4}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{16}}\\
&\le CK_7^{\frac{5}{16}}A_1(\sigma(T))^{\frac{3}{16}}+CK_2^{\frac{3}{2}}M_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+CK_2^{\frac{1}{2}}M_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\\
&\quad+CK_2^{\frac{3}{8}}M_2^{\frac{3}{8}}K_7^{\frac{1}{4}}\left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{16}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le C\Big(K_7^{\frac{5}{16}} + K_2^{\frac{3}{2}} M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_2^{\frac{1}{2}} M_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_2^{\frac{3}{8}} M_2^{\frac{3}{8}} K_7^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big) \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{16}} \\
&\triangleq K_{10} \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{16}}, \qquad (3.119)
\end{aligned}
$$

where (3.12), (3.64), (3.86) and (3.118) have been used. Therefore, for $t \in [0, \sigma(T)]$, we can choose N_0 and N_1 in Lemma 2.3 as follows

$$
N_1 = 0, \quad N_0 = K_{10} \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{16}}, \tag{3.120}
$$

and $\bar{\zeta} = 0$. Then

$$
g(\zeta) = -\frac{\zeta P}{2\mu + \lambda} \le -N_1 = 0 \text{ for all } \zeta \ge \bar{\zeta} = 0. \tag{3.121}
$$

We thus have

$$
\sup_{0 \le t \le \sigma(T)} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \le \max\{\bar{\rho}, 0\} + N_0 \le \bar{\rho} + K_{10} \left((\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{16}} \le \frac{3\bar{\rho}}{2}, \tag{3.122}
$$

provided

$$
(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \min\left\{ \left(\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2K_9}\right)^{16}, \varepsilon_5 \right\}. \tag{3.123}
$$

Furthermore, due to Lemmas 2.1-2.2, for $t \in [\sigma(T), T]$, we can derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
|b(t_2) - b(t_1)| &\le C \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\|G\|_{L^\infty} + \|H\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \\
&\le \frac{C(\bar{\rho})}{2\mu+\lambda}(t_2 - t_1) + C(\bar{\rho}) \int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|G\|_{L^\infty}^4 + C \int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|\nabla H\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2} \\
&\le \frac{C(\bar{\rho})}{2\mu+\lambda}(t_2 - t_1) + +C \left(\int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C(\bar{\rho}) \int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|\rho \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|\rho \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla H\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^3 \\
&\quad + C \int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^3 \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2} + C \int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 H\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le \frac{C(\bar{\rho})}{2\mu+\lambda}(t_2 - t_1) + C(\bar{\rho}) K_2^2 (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(\bar{\rho}) A_2(T) \int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C A_2(T)^{\frac{3}{2}} A_1(T)^{\frac{3}{2}} + A_1(T)^{\frac{3}{2}} A_2(T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\sigma(T)}^T \|\nabla \dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C A_1(T)^2 \\
&\le \frac{C(\bar{\rho})}{2\mu+\lambda}(t_2 - t_1) + C(\bar{\rho}) (\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(\bar{\rho}) K_2^2 A_2(T)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+CA_2(T)^{\frac{3}{2}}A_1(T)^{\frac{3}{2}}+CA_1(T)^2\\
&\le \frac{C(\bar\rho)}{2\mu+\lambda}(t_2-t_1)+C(\bar\rho)(1+K_2^2)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.124}
$$

Consequently, for $t\in[\sigma(T),T]$, we can choose N_0 and N_1 in Lemma 2.3 as follows

$$
N_1=\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda},\quad N_0=C(\bar\rho)(1+K_2^2)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\tag{3.125}
$$

Noticing that

$$
g(\zeta)=-\frac{\zeta P(\zeta)}{2\mu+\lambda}\le -N_1=-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\text{ for all }\zeta\ge 1,
\tag{3.126}
$$

one can set $\bar\zeta=1$. Thus

$$
\sup_{\sigma(T)\le t\le T}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\le \max\{\frac{3}{2}\bar\rho,1\}+N_0\le \frac{3}{2}\bar\rho+C(\bar\rho)(1+K_2^2)(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\le\frac{7\bar\rho}{4},
\tag{3.127}
$$

provided

$$
(\gamma-1)^{\frac{1}{6}}E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\le\min\left\{\varepsilon_5,\frac{\bar\rho}{4C(\bar\rho)(1+K_2^2)}\right\}.
\tag{3.128}
$$

□

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we devote to prove the main result of this paper. First, from now on we always assume that the conditions in Theorem 1.1 hold. Moreover, we denote the generic constant by C which may depends on T, μ, λ, ν, γ, $\bar\rho$, $\tilde\rho$, M_1,M_2, g and some other initial data. Here $g\in L^2$ is the function in the compatibility condition (1.8). The following higher-order a priori estimates of the smooth solutions which are needed to guarantee the classical solutions (ρ,u,H) to be global ones have been proved in [29], so we omit their proof here.

Lemma 4.1. *The following estimates hold:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\nabla H\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2)\\
&\qquad+\int_0^T\left(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot u\|_{L^2}^2+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2\right)\le C(T),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\dot u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^2H\|_{L^2}^2+\|H_t\|_{L^2}^2)\\
&\qquad+\int_0^T\left(\|\nabla\dot u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)\le C(T),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2}
$$

$$
\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^2\cap L^6}+\|\nabla u\|_{H^1})+\int_0^T\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\le C(T),
\tag{4.3}
$$

$$
\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}u_t\|_{L^2}^2)+\int_0^T\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2\le C(T),
\tag{4.4}
$$

$$
\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\nabla\rho\|_{H^1}+\|\nabla P\|_{H^1})+\int_0^T\|\nabla^2u\|_{H^1}^2\le C(T),
\tag{4.5}
$$

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\rho_t\|_{H^1}+\|P_t\|_{H^1})+\int_0^T(\|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2+\|P_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2)\le C(T), \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\sigma(\|\nabla u\|_{H^2}^2+\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_t\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H\|_{H^2}^2)\\&\qquad+\int_0^T\sigma\Big(\|\rho^{\frac12}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla u_t\|_{H^1}^2+\|H_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2\Big)\le C(T),\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\nabla\rho\|_{W^{1,q}}+\|\nabla P\|_{W^{1,q}})\\&\qquad+\int_0^T\Big(\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^q}^{p_0}+\|\nabla^2 u\|_{W^{1,q}}^{p_0}\Big)\le C(T),\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for fixed $q\in(3,6)$*, where* $1\le p_0<\frac{4q}{5q-6}\in(1,2)$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\sigma(\|\rho^{\frac12}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^2 u\|_{W^{1,q}}+\|\nabla^2 H\|_{H^2}+\|\nabla^2 H_t\|_{L^2}+\|H_{tt}\|_{L^2})\\&\qquad+\int_0^T\sigma^2\Big(\|\nabla u_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla H_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2\Big)\le C(T).\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Thanks to all the a priori estimates established above, we now are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. In fact, this can be done in a method the same as that in [29], we omit it here for simplicity.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China #11331005, the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University #IRT13066, and the Special Fund for Basic Scientific Research of Central Colleges #CCNU12C01001. The first author was also supported by excellent doctorial dissertation cultivation grant from Central Normal University.

References

[1] H. Cabannes, Theoretical Magnetofluiddynamics. Academic Press, New York-London, 1970.

[2] G.Q. Chen, D. Wang, Global solutions of nonlinear magnetohydrodynamics with large initial data, J. Differential Equations, 182 (2002), 344-376.

[3] G.Q. Chen, D. Wang, Existence and continuous dependence of large solutions for the magnetohydrodynamic equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 54 (2003), 608-632.

[4] Y. Cho, H.J. Choe, H. Kim, Unique solvability of the initial boundary value problems for compressible viscous fluids, J. Math. Pures Appl., 83 (2004), 243-275.

[5] Y. Cho, H. Kim, On classical solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with nonnegative initial densities, Manuscripta Math., 120 (2006), 91-129.

[6] S.J. Ding, H.Y. Wen, C.J. Zhu, Global classical large solutions to 1D compressible Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity and vacuum, J. Differential Equations, 251 (2011), 1696-1725.

[7] S.J. Ding, H.Y. Wen, L. Yao, C.J. Zhu, Global spherically symmetric classical solution to compressible Navier-Stokes equations with large initial data and vacuum, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 44 (2012), 1257-1278.

[8] B. Ducomet, E. Feireisl, The equations of magnetohydrodynamics: on the interaction between matter and radiation in the evolution of gaseous stars, Comm. Math. Phys., 266 (2006), 595-629.

[9] J. Fan, S. Jiang, G. Nakamura, Vanishing shear viscosity limit in the magnetohydrodynamic equations, Comm. Math. Phys., 270 (2007), 691-708.

[10] J. Fan, W. Yu, Global variational solutions to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations, Nonlinear Anal., 69 (2008), 3637-3660.

[11] J. Fan, W. Yu, Strong solution to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 10 (2009), 392-409.

[12] D. Hoff, Global solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for multidimensional compressible flow with discontinuous initial data, J. Differential Equations 120 (1995), 215-254.

[13] X.F. Hou, H.Y. Peng, C.J. Zhu, Global classical solution to 3D isentropic comressible Navier-Stokes equations with large initial data and vacuum, under review.

[14] X. Hu, D. Wang, Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, Comm. Math. Phys., 283 (2008), 255-284.

[15] X. Hu, D. Wang, Compactness of weak solutions to the three-dimensional compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations, J. Differential Equations, 245 (2008), 2176-2198.

[16] X. Hu, D. Wang, Low mach number limit of viscous compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 41 (2009), 1272-1294.

[17] X. Hu, D. Wang, Global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the three-dimensional equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 197 (2010), 203-238.

[18] X.D. Huang, J. Li, Z.P. Xin, Global well-posedness of classical solutions with large oscillations and vacuum to the three-dimensional isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 65 (2012), 549-585.

[19] A. Jeffrey, T. Taniuti, Non-linear wave propagation. With applications to physics and magnetohydrodynamics. Academic Press, New York-London, 1964.

[20] S. Jiang, Q.C. Ju, F.C. Li, Incompressible limit of the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with periodic boundary conditions, Comm. Math. Phys., 297 (2010), 371-400.

[21] S. Kawashima, Smooth global solutions for two-dimensional equations of electromagnetofluid dynamics, Japan J. Appl. Math., 1 (1984), 207-222.

[22] S. Kawashima, M. Okada, Smooth global solutions for the one-dimensional equations in magnetohydrodynamics, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 58 (1982), 384-387.

[23] S. Kawashima, Y. Shizuta, Magnetohydrodynamic approximation of the complete equations for an electromagnetic fluid, Tsukuba J. Math., 10 (1986), 131-149.

[24] S. Kawashima, Y. Shizuta, Magnetohydrodynamic approximation of the complete equations for an electromagnetic fluid II, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 62 (1986), 181-184.

[25] A.V. Kazhikhov, V.V. Shelukhin, Unique global solution with respect to time of initial-boundary value problems for one-dimensional equations of a viscous gas, Prikl. Mat. Meh., 41 (1977), 282-291.

[26] A.G. Kulikovskiy, G. A. Lyubimov, Magnetohydrodynamics. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1965.

[27] O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural'ceva, Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type. AMS, Providence, R.I. 1968.

[28] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifschitz, Electrodynamics of continua. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1984.

[29] H.L. Li, X.Y. Xu, J.W. Zhang, Global classical solutions to 3D compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with large oscillations and vacuum, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 45 (2013), 1356-1387.

[30] P.L. Lions, Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 2, Compressible models. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.

[31] R.V. Polovin, V.P. Demutskii, Fundamentals of Magnetohydrodynamics. Consultants Bureau, New York, 1990.

[32] T. Umeda, S. Kawashima, Y. Shizuta, On the decay of solutions to the linearized equations of electromagnetofluid dynamics, Japan J. Appl. Math., 1 (1984), 435-457.

[33] A.I. Vol'pert, S.I. Hudjaev, The Cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear differential equations, Mat. Sb., 87 (1972), 504-528.

[34] D. Wang, Large solutions to the initial-boundary value problem for planar magnetohydrodynamics, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 63 (2003), 1424-1441.

[35] H.Y. Wen, C.J. Zhu, Global classical large solutions to Navier-Stokes equations for viscous compressible and heat-conducting fluids with vacuum, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 45 (2013), 431-468.

[36] H.Y. Wen, C.J. Zhu, Global symmetric classical and strong solutions of the full compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum and large initial data, J. Math. Pures Appl., in press.

[37] H.Y. Wen, C.J. Zhu, Blow-up criterions of strong solutions to 3D compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum, Adv. Math., 248 (2013), 534C572.

[38] A. Zlotnik, On global in time properties of the symmetric compressible barotropic Navier-Stokes-Poisson flows in a vacuum, Instability in models connected with fluid flows, II, 329-376, Int. Math. Ser. (N. Y.), 7, Springer, New York, 2008.

[39] J.W. Zhang, S. Jiang, F. Xie, Global weak solutions of an initial boundary value problem for screw pinches in plasma physics, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 19 (2009), 833-875.

[40] J.W. Zhang, J. N. Zhao, Some decay estimates of solutions for the 3-D compressible isentropic magnetohydrodynamics, Commun. Math. Sci., 8 (2010), 835-850.